arXiv:1304.7338v1 [math.RA] 27 Apr 2013

Hom-Nijienhuis operator and T^*-extension of Hom-Lie Superalgebras

Yan Liu, Liangyun Chen, Yao Ma

School of Mathematics and Statistics,
Northeast Normal University,
Changchun 130024, China

Abstract

In this paper, we study hom-Lie superalgebras. We give the definition of hom-Nijienhuis operators of regualr hom-Lie superalgebras and show that the deformation generated by a hom-Nijienhuis operator is trivial. Moreover, we introduce the definition of T^*-extensions of Hom-Lie superalgebras and show that T^*-extensions preserve many properties such as nilpotency, solvability and decomposition in some sense. We also investigate the equivalence of T^*-extensions.

Keywords: hom-Lie superalgebra, adjniont representation, T^*-extension, quadratic hom-Lie superalgebra, deformations
2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 17B99, 17B30.

1 Introduction

A Hom-Lie algebra is a triple $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$, where α is a linear self-map, in which the skewsymmetric bracket satisfies an α-twisted variant of the Jacobi identity, called the Hom-Jacobi identity. When α is the identity map, the Hom-Jacobi identity reduces to the usual Jacobi identity, and L is a Lie algebra. The notion of hom-Lie algebras was introduced by Hartwig, Larsson and Silvestrov to describe the structures on certain deformations of the Witt algebra and the Virasoro algebra [7]. Hom-Lie algebras are also related to deformed vector fields, the various versions of the Yang-Baxter equations, braid group representations, and quantum groups[7,12,13]. Recently, hom-Lie algebras were generalized to hom-Lie superalgebras by Ammar and Makhlouf [1-3]. More applications

---

Corresponding author(L. Chen): chenly640@nenu.edu.cn.

Supported by NNSF of China (No. 11171057), Natural Science Foundation of Jilin province (No. 201115006), Scientific Research Foundation for Returned Scholars Ministry of Education of China and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

of the hom-Lie algebras, hom-algebras and hom-Lie superalgebras can be found in [6-8, 11-13]

In this paper we introduce an extensional technique called T^*-extensional for hom-Lie superalgebra. This method is a one-step procedure, so it is usually workable. In 1997, Bordemann introduced the notion of T^*-extension of Lie algebras(see [5]), which is one of the main tools to prove that every symplectic Manin quadratic Lie algebra is a special symplectic algebra in [4]. Many facts show that T^*-extension is an important method to study algebraic structures [4, 5, 9]

The paper proceeds as follows. In Section 2 after giving the definition of hom-Lie superalgebras, we show that the direct sum of two hom-Lie superalgebras is still a hom-Lie superalgebra. A linear map between hom-Lie superalgebras is a morphism if and only if its graph is a hom-Lie sub-superalgebra. Section 3 we give the definition of hom-Nijienhuis operators of regualr hom-Lie superalgebras. We show that the deformation generated by a hom-Nijienhuis operator is trivial. Section 4 after presents a summary of some of the relevant concepts, we introduces the definition of T^*-extension of hom-Lie superalgebra and shows that T^*-extension preserves many properties such as nilpotency, solvability and decomposition in some sense. Section 4 discusses the equivalence of T^*-extensions using cohomology.

2 Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. *(1) A hom-Lie superalgebra is a triple $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ consisting of a Z_2-graded vector space L, an even bilinear map (bracket) $[\cdot,\cdot]_L : \wedge^2 L \to L$ and an even homomorphism $\alpha : L \to L$ satisfying*

$$[x, y]_L = -(-1)^{|x||y|}[y, x]_L, \tag{1}$$

$$(-1)^{|x||z|}[\alpha(x), [y, z]_L]_L + (-1)^{|y||x|}[\alpha(y), [z, x]_L]_L + (-1)^{|z||y|}[\alpha(z), [x, y]_L]_L = 0, \tag{2}$$

where x, y and z are homogeneous elements in L;

(2) A hom-Lie superalgebra is called a multiplicative hom-lie superalgebra if α is an algebraic morphism, i.e. for any $x, y, \in L$, we have $\alpha([x, y]_L) = [\alpha(x), \alpha(y)]_L$;

(3) A hom-Lie superalgebra is called a regular hom-lie superalgebra if α is an algebra automorphism;

(4) The center of hom-Lie superalgebras L, denoted by $Z(L)$, is the set of such elements $x \in L$ satisfying $[x, L] = 0$;

(5) A sub-vector space $I \subset L$ is a hom-Lie subsuperalgebra of $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ if $\alpha(I) \subset I$ and I is closed under the bracket operation $[\cdot,\cdot]_L$, i.e. $[I, I]_L \in I$;

(6) I is called a hom-Lie superalgebra ideal of L if $[I, L]_L \subset I$. Moreover, if $[I, I] = 0$, then I is called an abelian hom-Lie superalgebra ideal of L. Note that a subspace L' of L has a natural Z_2-gradation: $L' = (L' \cap L_{\bar{0}}) \oplus (L' \cap L_{\bar{1}})$.

In the sequel, $u+v\in L\oplus\Gamma$ implies that $u\in L$, $v\in\Gamma$ and $u+v$ is homogeneous satisfying $|u+v|=|u|=|v|$, then $(-1)^{|u_1+v_1||u_2+v_2|}=(-1)^{|u_1||u_2|}$.

Proposition 2.2. *Given two hom-Lie superalgebras $(L,[\cdot,\cdot]_L,\alpha)$ and $(\Gamma,[\cdot,\cdot]_\Gamma,\beta)$, there is a hom-Lie superalgebra $(L\oplus\Gamma,[\cdot,\cdot]_{L\oplus\Gamma},\alpha+\beta)$, where the bilinear map $[\cdot,\cdot]_{L\oplus\Gamma}:\wedge^2(L\oplus\Gamma)\to L\oplus\Gamma$ is given by*

$$[u_1+v_1,u_2+v_2]_{L\oplus\Gamma}=[u_1,u_2]_L+[v_1,v_2]_\Gamma,\qquad \forall u_1,u_2\in L,v_1,v_2\in\Gamma,$$

and the linear map $(\alpha+\beta):L\oplus\Gamma\to L\oplus\Gamma$ is given by

$$(\alpha+\beta)(u+v)=\alpha(u)+\beta(v),\qquad \forall u\in L,v\in\Gamma.$$

Proof. for any $u_i\in L,v_i\in\Gamma$, we have

$$[u_1+v_1,u_2+v_2]_{L\oplus\Gamma}=[u_1,u_2]_L+[v_1,v_2]_\Gamma,$$

$$\begin{aligned}-(-1)^{|u_1||u_2|}[u_2+v_2,u_1+v_1]_{L\oplus\Gamma}&=-(-1)^{|u_1||u_2|}([u_2,u_1]_L+[v_2,v_1]_\Gamma)\\&=[u_1,u_2]_L+[v_1,v_2]_\Gamma,\end{aligned}$$

The bracket is obviously supersymmetric and with a direct computation we have

$$\begin{aligned}&(-1)^{|u_1||u_3|}[(\alpha+\beta)(u_1+v_1),[u_2+v_2,u_3+v_3]_{L\oplus\Gamma}]_{L\oplus\Gamma}+c.p.((u_1+v_1),(u_2+v_2),(u_3+v_3))\\=\ &(-1)^{|u_1||u_3|}[\alpha(u_1)+\beta(v_1),[u_2,u_3]_L+[v_2,v_3]_\Gamma]_{L\oplus\Gamma}+c.p.\\=\ &(-1)^{|u_1||u_3|}[\alpha(u_1),[u_2,u_3]_L]_L+c.p.(u_1,u_2,u_3)+(-1)^{|v_1||v_3|}[\beta(v_1),[v_2,v_3]_\Gamma]_\Gamma+c.p.(v_1,v_2,v_3)\\=\ &0.\end{aligned}$$

where c.p.(a,b,c) means the cyclic permutations of a, b, c. ☐

Definition 2.3. *Let $(L,[\cdot,\cdot]_L,\alpha)$ and $(\Gamma,[\cdot,\cdot]_\Gamma,\beta)$ be two hom-Lie superalgebras. An even homomorphism $\phi:L\to\Gamma$ is said to be a morphism of Hom-lie superalgebras if*

$$\phi[u,v]_L=[\phi(u),\phi(v)]_\Gamma,\qquad \forall u,v\in L,\tag{3}$$

$$\phi\circ\alpha=\alpha\circ\phi.\tag{4}$$

Denote by $\mathfrak{G}_\phi\in L\oplus\Gamma$ the graph of a linear map $\phi:L\to\Gamma$.

Proposition 2.4. *An even homomorphism $\phi:(L,[\cdot,\cdot]_L,\alpha)\to(\Gamma,[\cdot,\cdot]_\Gamma,\beta)$ is a morphism of hom-Lie superalgebras if and only if the graph $\mathfrak{G}_\phi\in L\oplus\Gamma$ is a hom-Lie sub-superalgebra of $(L\oplus\Gamma,[\cdot,\cdot]_{L\oplus\Gamma},\alpha+\beta)$.*

Proof. Let $\phi:(L,[\cdot,\cdot]_L,\alpha)\to(\Gamma,[\cdot,\cdot]_\Gamma,\beta)$ be a morphism of hom-Lie superalgebras, then for any $u,v\in L$, we have

$$[u+\phi(u),v+\phi(v)]_{L\oplus\Gamma}=[u,v]_L+[\phi(u),\phi(v)]_\Gamma=[u,v]_L+\phi[u,v]_L.$$

Thus the graph $\mathfrak{G}_\phi$ is closed under the bracket operation $[\cdot,\cdot]_{L\oplus\Gamma}$. Furthermore, by (4), we have

$$(\alpha+\beta)(u+\phi(u)) = \alpha(u) + \beta\circ\phi(u) = \alpha(u) + \phi\circ\alpha(u)$$

which implies that $(\alpha+\beta)(\mathfrak{G}_\phi) \subset \mathfrak{G}_\phi$. Thus $\mathfrak{G}_\phi$ is a hom-Lie sub-superalgebra of $(L\oplus\Gamma, [\cdot,\cdot]_{L\oplus\Gamma}, \alpha+\beta)$.

Conversely, if the graph $\mathfrak{G}_\phi \subset L\oplus\Gamma$ is a hom-Lie sub-superalgebra of $(L\oplus\Gamma, [\cdot,\cdot]_{L\oplus\Gamma}, \alpha+\beta)$, then we have

$$[u+\phi(u), v+\phi(v)]_{L\oplus\Gamma} = [u,v]_L + [\phi(u),\phi(v)]_\Gamma \in \mathfrak{G}_\phi,$$

which implies that

$$[\phi(u),\phi(v)]_\Gamma = \phi[u,v]_L.$$

Furthermore, $(\alpha+\beta)(\mathfrak{G}_\phi) \subset \mathfrak{G}_\phi$ yields that

$$(\alpha+\beta)(u+\phi(u)) = \alpha(u) + \beta\circ\phi(u) \in \mathfrak{G}_\phi,$$

which is equivalent to the condition $\beta\circ\phi(u) = \phi\circ\alpha(u)$, i.e. $\beta\circ\phi = \phi\circ\alpha$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{G}_\phi$ is a morphism of hom-Lie superalgebras. ☐

3 The hom-Nijienhuis operator of hom-Lie superalgebras

Let $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ be a multiplicative hom-Lie superalgebra. We consider that L represents on itself via the bracket with respect to the morphism α.

Definition 3.1. *[3] For any integer s, the α^s-adjoint representation of the multiplicative hom-Lie superalgebra $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$, which we denote by ad_s, is defined by*

$$\mathrm{ad}_s(u)(v) = [\alpha^s(u), v]_L, \forall u, v \in L$$

In particular we use ad *represent* ad_0.

Lemma 3.2. *[3] With the above notations, we have*

$$\mathrm{ad}_s(\alpha(u))\circ\alpha = \alpha\circ\mathrm{ad}_s(u);$$

$$\mathrm{ad}_s([u,v]_L)\circ\alpha = \mathrm{ad}_s(\alpha(u))\circ\mathrm{ad}_s(v) - (-1)^{|u||v|}\mathrm{ad}_s(\alpha(v))\circ\mathrm{ad}_s(u).$$

Thus the definition of α^s-adjoint representation is well defined.

The set of k-hom-cochains on L with coefficients in L, which we denote by $C^k_\alpha(L;L)$ is given by

$$C^k_\alpha(L;L) = \{f \in C^k(L;L) | \alpha\circ f = f\circ\alpha\}.$$

In particular, the set of 0-hom-cochains are given by:

$$C^0_\alpha(L;L) = \{u \in L | \alpha(u) = u\}.$$

Associated to the α^s-adjoint representation, the coboundary operator $d_s : C^k_\alpha(L;L) \to C^{k+1}_\alpha(L;L)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} d_s f(u_0, \cdots, u_k) &= \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^i (-1)^{(|f|+|u_0|+\cdots+|u_{i-1}|)|u_i|} [\alpha^{k+s}(u_i), f(u_0, \cdots, \hat{u_i} \cdots, u_k)]_L \\ &+ \sum_{i<j} (-1)^{i+j} (-1)^{(|u_0|+\cdots+|u_{i-1}|)|u_i|} (-1)^{(|u_0|+\cdots+|u_{j-1}|)|u_j|} (-1)^{|u_i||u_j|} \\ & \quad f([u_i, u_j], \alpha(u_0), \cdots, \alpha(\hat{u_i}), \cdots, \alpha(\hat{u_i}), \cdots, \alpha(u_k)) \end{aligned}$$

For the α^s-adjoint representation ad_s, we obtain the α^s-adjoint complex $(C^\bullet_\alpha(L;L), d_s)$ and the corresponding cohomology

$$H^k(L; \mathrm{ad}_s) = Z^k(L; \mathrm{ad}_s)/B^k(L; \mathrm{ad}_s).$$

Let $\psi \in C^2_\alpha(L;L)$ be a bilinear operator commuting whit α. Consider a t-parametrized family of bilinear operations

$$[u, v]_t = [u, v]_L + t\psi(u, v). \tag{5}$$

Since ψ commutes with α, α is a morphism with respect to the bracket $[\cdot,\cdot]_t$ for every t. If all the brackets $[\cdot,\cdot]_t$ endow $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_t, \alpha)$ regular hom-Lie superalgebra structures, we say that ψ generates a deformation of the regular hom-Lie superalgebra $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$. By computing the hom-superJacobi identity of $[\cdot,\cdot]_t$, this is equivalent to the conditions

$$(-1)^{|u||w|}(\psi(\alpha(u), \psi[v, w])) + c.p.(u, v, w) = 0; \tag{6}$$

$$(-1)^{|u||w|}(\psi(\alpha(u), [v, w]_L) + [\alpha(u), \psi[v, w]_L]_L) + c.p.(u, v, w) = 0. \tag{7}$$

Obviously, (6) means that ψ must itself define a hom-Lie superalgebra structure on L. Furthermore, (7) means that ψ is closed with respect to the α^{-1}-adjoint representation ad_{-1}, i.e. $d_{-1}\psi = 0$.

A deformation is said to be trivial if there is a linear operator $N \in C^1_\alpha(L;L)$ such that for $T_t = Id + tN$, there holds

$$T_t[u, v]_t = [T_t(u), T_t(v)]_L. \tag{8}$$

Definition 3.3. *[11] A linear operator $N \in C^1_\alpha(L, L)$ is called a hom-Nijienhuis operator if we have*

$$[Nu, Nv]_L = N[u, v]_N, \tag{9}$$

where the bracket $[\cdot,\cdot]_N$ is defined by

$$[u, v]_N \triangleq [Nu, v]_L + [u, Nv]_L - N[u, v]_L. \tag{10}$$

Theorem 3.4. *Let $N \in C^1_\alpha(L, L)$ be a hom-Nijienhuis operator. Then a deformation of the regular hom-Lie superalgebra $(L, [\cdot, \cdot]_L, \alpha)$ can be obtained by putting*

$$\psi(u, v) = d_{-1}N(u, v) = [u, v]_N.$$

Furthermore, this deformation is trivial.

Proof. Since $\psi = d_{-1}N$, $d_{-1}\psi = 0$ is valid. To see that ψ generates a deformation, we need to check the hom-superJacobi identity for ψ. Using the explicit expression of ψ, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^{|u||w|}\psi(\alpha(u), \psi(v, w)) + c.p.(u, v, w) \\
= \; & (-1)^{|u||w|}[\alpha(u), [v, w]_N]_N + c.p.(u, v, w) \\
= \; & (-1)^{|u||w|}([\alpha(u), [Nv, w]_L + [v, Nw]_L - N[v, w]_L]_N) + c.p.(u, v, w) \\
= \; & (-1)^{|u||w|}([\alpha(u), [Nv, w]_L]_N + [\alpha(u), [v, Nw]_L]_N - [\alpha(u), N[v, w]_L]_N) + c.p.(u, v, w) \\
= \; & (-1)^{|u||w|}([N\alpha(u), [Nv, w]_L]_L + [\alpha(u), N[Nv, w]_L]_L - N[\alpha(u), [Nv, w]_L]_L \\
& +[N\alpha(u), [v, Nw]_L]_L + [\alpha(u), N[v, Nw]_L]_L - N[\alpha(u), [v, Nw]_L]_L \\
& -[N\alpha(u), N[v, w]_L]_L - [\alpha(u), N^2[v, w]_L]_L + N[\alpha(u), N[v, w]_L]_L) + c.p.(u, v, w).
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\alpha(u), N[Nv, w]_L]_L + [\alpha(u), N[v, Nw]_L]_L - [\alpha(u), N^2[v, w]_L]_L \\
= \; & [\alpha(u), N([Nv, w]_L + [v, Nw]_L - N[v, w]_L)]_L \\
= \; & [\alpha(u), N[v, w]_N]_L.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^{|u||w|}\psi(\alpha(u), \psi(v, w)) + c.p.(u, v, w) \\
= \; & (-1)^{|u||w|}([N\alpha(u), [Nv, w]_L]_L - N[\alpha(u), [Nv, w]_L]_L + [N\alpha(u), [v, Nw]_L]_L \\
& -N[\alpha(u), [v, Nw]_L]_L - [N\alpha(u), N[v, w]_L]_L + N[\alpha(u), N[v, w]_L]_L + [\alpha(u), N[v, w]_N]_L) \\
& +(-1)^{|u||v|}([N\alpha(v), [Nw, u]_L]_L - N[\alpha(v), [Nw, u]_L]_L + [N\alpha(v), [w, Nu]_L]_L \\
& -N[\alpha(v), [w, Nu]_L]_L - [N\alpha(v), N[w, u]_L]_L + N[\alpha(v), N[w, u]_L]_L + [\alpha(v), N[w, u]_N]_L) \\
& +(-1)^{|v||w|}([N\alpha(w), [Nu, v]_L]_L - N[\alpha(w), [Nu, v]_L]_L + [N\alpha(w), [u, Nv]_L]_L \\
& -N[\alpha(w), [u, Nv]_L]_L - [N\alpha(w), N[u, v]_L]_L + N[\alpha(w), N[u, v]_L]_L + [\alpha(w), N[u, v]_N]_L) \\
= \; & (-1)^{|u||w|}[N\alpha(u), [Nv, w]_L]_L + (-1)^{|u||v|}[N\alpha(v), [w, Nu]_L]_L \\
& +(-1)^{|v||w|}[\alpha(w), N([u, v]_N)]_L + c.p.(u, v, w) \\
& +(-1)^{|u||v|}(N[\alpha(v), N[w, u]_L]_L - N[\alpha(v), [w, u]_L]_L) + c.p.(u, v, w) \\
& -N((-1)^{|u||w|}[\alpha(u), [Nv, w]_L]_L + (-1)^{|v||w|}[\alpha(w), [u, Nv]_L]_L) + c.p.(u, v, w).
\end{aligned}$$

Since N commutes with α, by the hom-superJacobi identity of L, we have

$$(-1)^{|u||w|}[N\alpha(u), [Nv, w]_L]_L + (-1)^{|u||v|}[\alpha(Nv), [w, Nu]_L]_L + (-1)^{|v||w|}[\alpha(w), [Nu, Nv]_L]_L = 0.$$

Since N is a hom-Nijienhuis operator, we have

$$(-1)^{|u||w|}[N\alpha(u),[Nv,w]_L]_L+(-1)^{|u||v|}[\alpha(Nv),[w,Nu]_L]_L+(-1)^{|v||w|}[\alpha(w),[u,v]_N]_L$$
$$+c.p.(u,v,w)=0.$$

Furthermore, also by the fact that N is a hom-Nijienhuis operator, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-1)^{|u||v|}(N[\alpha(v),N[w,u]_L]_L-N[\alpha(v),[w,u]_L]_L)+c.p.(u,v,w)\\
=\;&(-1)^{|u||v|}(-N[N\alpha(v),[w,u]_L]_L+N^2[\alpha(v),[w,u]_L]_L)+c.p.(u,v,w)\\
=\;&-(-1)^{|u||v|}N[N\alpha(v),[w,u]_L]_L+(-1)^{|u||v|}N^2[\alpha(v),[w,u]_L]_L)+c.p.(u,v,w).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus by the hom-superJacobi identity of L, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-1)^{|u||v|}(N[\alpha(v),N[w,u]_L]_L-N[\alpha(v),[Nw,u]_L]_L)+c.p.(u,v,w)\\
=\;&-(-1)^{|u||v|}N[N\alpha(v),[w,u]_L]_L+c.p.(u,v,w).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-1)^{|u||w|}\psi(\alpha(u),\psi(v,w))+c.p.(u,v,w)\\
=\;&-(-1)^{|u||v|}N[N\alpha(v),[w,u]_L]_L-N((-1)^{|u||w|}[\alpha(u),[Nv,w]_L]_L\\
&+(-1)^{|v||w|}[\alpha(w),[u,Nv]_L]_L)+c.p.(u,v,w)\\
=\;&-N((-1)^{|u||v|}[\alpha(Nv),[w,u]_L]_L+(-1)^{|u||w|}[\alpha(u),[Nv,w]_L]_L\\
&+(-1)^{|v||w|}[\alpha(w),[u,Nv]_L]_L)+c.p.(u,v,w)\\
=\;&0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus ψ generates a deformation of the hom-Lie superalgebra $(L,[\cdot,\cdot]_L,\alpha)$.

Let $T_t=Id+tN$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T_t[u,v]_t&=(Id+tN)([u,v]_L+t\psi(u,v))\\
&=(Id+tN)([u,v]_L+t[u,v]_N)\\
&=[u,v]_L+t([u,v]_N+N[u,v]_L)+t^2N[u,v]_N.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[T_t(u),T_t(v)]_L&=[u+tNu,v+tNv]_L\\
&=[u,v]_L+t([Nu,v]_N+[u,Nv]_L)+t^2[Nu,Nv]_L.
\end{aligned}$$

By (9), (10), we have

$$T_t[u,v]_t=[T_t(u),T_t(v)]_L,$$

which implies that the deformation is trivial. □

4 T^*-extension of hom-Lie superalgebras

Definition 4.1. *Let $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ be a hom-Lie superalgebras. A bilinear form f on L is said to be nondegenerate if*

$$L^{\perp} = \{x \in L | f(x, y) = 0, \forall y \in L\} = 0,$$

invariant if

$$f([x, y], z) = f(x, [y, z]), \forall x, y, z \in L,$$

supersymmetric if

$$f(x, y) = -(-1)^{|x||y|} f(y, x),$$

A subspace I of L is called isotropic if $I \subseteq I^{\perp}$.

Definition 4.2. *A bilinear form f on a hom-Lie superalgebras $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ is said to be superconsistent if f satisfies*

$$f(x, y) = 0, \forall x \in L_{|x|}, y \in L_{|y|}, |x| + |y| \neq 0.$$

Throughout this paper, we only consider superconsistent bilinear forms.

Definition 4.3. *Let $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ be a hom-Lie superalgebras over a field* **K**. *If L admits a nondegenerate invariant supersymmetric bilinear form f, then we call (L, f, α) a quadratic hom-Lie superalgebras. In particular, a quadratic vector space V is a Z_2-graded vector space admitting a nondegenerate supersymmetric bilinear form.*

If $(L^{'}, [\cdot,\cdot]_L^{'}, \beta)$ be another hom-Lie superalgebra, Two quadratic quadratic hom-Lie superalgebras (L, f, α) and $(L^{'}, f', \beta)$ are said to be isometric if there exists a hom-Lie superalgebras isomorphism $\phi : L \to L^{'}$ such that $f(x, y) = f'(\phi(x), \phi(y)), \forall x, y \in L$.

Definition 4.4. *Let $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ be a hom-Lie superalgebras over a field* **K**. *We say a Z_2-graded vector space $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$ is a graded L-module if*

$$L_g \cdot V_h \subseteq V_{g+h},$$

for every $g, h \in Z_2$ and

$$[x, y] \cdot v = x \cdot (y \cdot v) - (-1)^{|x||y|} y \cdot (x \cdot v),$$

for every $v \in V, x, y \in L$.

Now we introduce the cohomology theory of hom-Lie superalgebras, which can be find in [3].

Let $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$ be a graded L-module. Denote by $C^n(L; V)(n \geq 0, C^0(L; V) = V)$ the Z_2-graded vector space spanned by all n-linear homogenous mappings f of $L \times \cdots \times L$ into V satisfying

$$f(x_1, \cdots, x_i, x_{i+1}, \cdots, x_n) = -(-1)^{|x_i||x_{i+1}|} f(x_1, \cdots, x_{i+1}, x_i, \cdots, x_n),$$

where $C^n(L;V)_\theta = \{f \in C^n(L;V) | |f(x_1,\cdots,x_n)| = |x_1| + \cdots + |x_n| + \theta\}$, $\theta \in Z_2$.

Then $C^n(L;V)$ is a graded L-module: If $x \in L$ and $f \in C^n(L;V)$, the action of L on $C^n(L;V)$ is defined by

$$(x \cdot f)(x_1,\cdots,x_n) = x \cdot f(x_1,\cdots,x_n) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{|x|(|f|+|x_1|+\cdots+|x_{i-1}|)} f(x_1,\cdots,[x,x_i],\cdots,x_n).$$

For a given r, we define a map $\delta_r^n : C^n(L;V) \to C^{n+1}(L;V)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}(\delta_r^n f)(x_0,\cdots,x_n) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i (-1)^{(|f|+|x_0|+\cdots+|x_{i-1}|)|x_i|} [\alpha^{n+r-1}(x_i), f(x_0,\cdots,\hat{x_i},\cdots,x_n)]_V \\ &+ \sum_{i<j} (-1)^{j+|x_j|(|x_{i+1}|+\cdots+|x_{j-1}|)} \\ &\quad \cdot f(\alpha(x_0),\cdots,\alpha(x_{i-1}),[x_i,x_j],\alpha(x_{i+1}),\cdots,\alpha(\hat{x_j}),\cdots,\alpha(x_n)),\end{aligned}$$

Then $\delta^2 f = 0, \forall f \in C^n(L,V)$. The mapping $f \in C^n(L,V)$ is called an n-cocycle if $\delta f = 0$. We denote by $Z^n(L,V)$ the subspace spanned by n-cocycles. Since $\delta^2 f = 0$ for any $f \in C^n(L,V)$, $\delta C^{n-1}(L,V)$ is a subspace of $Z^n(L,V)$. Therefore we can define a cohomology space $H^n(L,V)$ of L as the factor space $Z^n(L,V)/\delta C^{n-1}(L,V)$ $(n \geq 0)$.

Consider the dual space L^* of L, then L^* is a Z_2-graded space, where $L^*_\theta := \{\beta \in L^* | \beta(x) = 0, \forall \theta \neq |x|\}$. Moreover, L^* is a L-module: $x \cdot \beta = -(-1)^{|b||\beta|}\beta \mathrm{ad}x$ [10].

The base field $\mathbf{K}$ itself can be considered as Z_2-graded, if one sets $\mathbf{K}_0 = \mathbf{K}$, $\mathbf{K}_{\bar{1}} = \{0\}$. Then as a trivial graded L-module, $C^n(L,\mathbf{K})(n \geq 0, C^0(L,\mathbf{K}) = \mathbf{K})$ is the Z_2-graded vector space spanned by all n-linear homogenous mappings f of $L \times \cdots \times L$ into $\mathbf{K}$ satisfying

$$f(x_1,\cdots,x_i,x_{i+1},\cdots,x_n) = -(-1)^{|x_i||x_{i+}|} f(x_1,\cdots,x_{i+1},x_i,\cdots,x_n),$$

where $C^n(L,\mathbf{K})_\theta = \{f \in C^n(L,\mathbf{K}) | f(x_1,\cdots,x_n) = 0, \text{if } |x_1| + \cdots + |x_n| + \theta \neq 0\}$.

Let $(L,[\cdot,\cdot]_L,\alpha)$ be a hom-Lie superalgebras over a field $\mathbf{K}$, $L^* = L^*_{\bar{0}} \oplus L^*_{\bar{1}}$ be its dual space. Since $L = L_{\bar{0}} \oplus L_{\bar{1}}$ and $L^* = L^*_{\bar{0}} \oplus L^*_{\bar{1}}$ are Z_2-graded spaces, the direct sum $L \oplus L^* = (L_{\bar{0}} \oplus L_{\bar{1}}) \oplus (L^*_{\bar{0}} \oplus L^*_{\bar{1}}) = (L_{\bar{0}} \oplus L^*_{\bar{0}}) \oplus (L_{\bar{1}} \oplus L^*_{\bar{1}})$ is Z_2-graded.

In the sequel, $x + f \in L \oplus L^*$ implies that $x \in L$, $f \in L^*$ and $x + f$ is homogeneous satisfying $|x + f| = |x| = |f|$, then $(-1)^{|x+f||y+g|} = (-1)^{|x||y|}$.

Lemma 4.5. *[3] Let* ad *be the adjoint representation of a hom-Lie superalgebra* $(L,[\cdot,\cdot]_L,\alpha)$, *and let us consider the even linear map* $\pi : L \to pl(L^*)$ *defined by,* $\pi(x)(f)(y) = -(-1)^{|x||f|} f \circ \mathrm{ad}(x)(y), \forall x,y \in L$. *Then* π *is a representation of* L *on* $(L^*,\tilde{\alpha})$ *if and only if*

$$\mathrm{ad}(x) \circ \mathrm{ad}(\alpha(y)) - (-1)^{|x||y|}\mathrm{ad}(y) \circ \mathrm{ad}(\alpha(x)) = \alpha \circ \mathrm{ad}([x,y]_L). \tag{11}$$

We call the representation π *the coadjoint representation of* L

Lemma 4.6. *Under the above notations, let* $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ *be a hom-Lie superalgebra, and* $\omega : L \times L \to L^*$ *be an even bilinear mapping. Assume that the coadjoint representation exists. The* Z_2*-graded spaces* $L \oplus L^*$*, provided with the following bracket and linear map defined respectively by*

$$[x+f, y+g]_{L\oplus L^*} = [x,y]_L + \omega(x,y) + \pi(x)g - (-1)^{|x||y|}\pi(y)f, \tag{12}$$

$$\alpha^{'}(x+f) = \alpha(x) + f\circ\alpha. \tag{13}$$

then $(L\oplus L^*, [\cdot,\cdot]_{L\oplus L^*}, \alpha^{'})$ *is a hom-Lie superalgebra if and only if* ω *is a 2-cocycle:* $L\times L \to L^*$*, i.e.* $\omega \in Z^2(L, L^*)_{\bar{0}}$*.*

Proof. For any homogeneous elements $x+f, y+g, z+h, \in L\oplus L^*$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& -(-1)^{|x||y|}[y+g, x+f]_{L\oplus L^*} \\
= \;& -(-1)^{|x||y|}([y,x]_L + \omega(y,x) + \pi(y)f - (-1)^{|x||y|}\pi(x)g) \\
= \;& [x,y]_L - (-1)^{|x||y|}\omega(y,x) + \pi(x)g - (-1)^{|x||y|}\pi(x)f.
\end{aligned}$$

Then (1) holds if and only if $\omega \in C^2(L, L^*)_{\bar{0}}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^{|x||z|}[\alpha^{'}(x+f), [y+g, z+h]_{L\oplus L^*}]_{L\oplus L^*} + c.p.(x+f, y+g, z+h) \\
= \;& (-1)^{|x||z|}[\alpha(x), [y,z]_L]_L + c.p.(x,y,z) \\
& +(-1)^{|x||z|}\omega(\alpha(x), [y,z]_L) + (-1)^{|x||z|}\pi(\alpha(x))\omega(y,z) + c.p(x,y,z) \\
& +(-1)^{|x||z|}\pi(\alpha(x))(\pi(y)h) - (-1)^{|x||z|+|y||z|}\pi(\alpha(x))(\pi(z)g) \\
& -(-1)^{|x||y|}\pi([y,z]_L)f\circ\alpha + c.p.(x+f, y+g, z+h).
\end{aligned}$$

By the hom superJacobi identity ,

$$(-1)^{|x||z|}[\alpha(x), [y,z]_L]_L + c.p.(x,y,z) = 0.$$

on the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^{|x||z|}\pi(\alpha(x))(\pi(y)h) - (-1)^{|x||z|+|y||z|}\pi(\alpha(x))(\pi(z)g) \\
& -(-1)^{|x||y|}\pi([y,z]_L)f\circ\alpha + c.p. \\
= \;& (-1)^{|y||x|}\pi(\alpha(y))(\pi(z)f) - (-1)^{|z||y|+|x||y|}\pi(\alpha(z))(\pi(y)f) - (-1)^{|x||y|}\pi([y,z]_L)f\circ\alpha \\
& +(-1)^{|z||y|}\pi(\alpha(z))(\pi(x)g) - (-1)^{|x||z|+|y||z|}\pi(\alpha(x))(\pi(z)g) - (-1)^{|y||z|}\pi([z,x]_L)g\circ\alpha \\
& +(-1)^{|x||z|}\pi(\alpha(x))(\pi(y)h) - (-1)^{|y||x|+|z||x|}\pi(\alpha(y))(\pi(x)h) - (-1)^{|z||x|}\pi([x,y]_L)h\circ\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

Since π is the coadjoint representation of L, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^{|z||x|}\pi([x,y]_L)h\circ\alpha \\
= \;& -(-1)^{|z||y|}h(\alpha\circ \mathrm{ad}([x,y]_L)) \\
= \;& -(-1)^{|z||y|}h\mathrm{ad}(x)\mathrm{ad}(\alpha(y)) + (-1)^{|x||y|+|z||y|}h\mathrm{ad}(y)\mathrm{ad}(\alpha(x)) \\
= \;& (-1)^{|z||y|+|z||x|}(\pi(x)h)(\mathrm{ad}(\alpha(y))) - (-1)^{|x||y|}(\pi(y)h)(\mathrm{ad}(\alpha(x))) \\
= \;& -(-1)^{|x||y|+|z||x|}\pi(\alpha(y))(\pi(x)h) + (-1)^{|x||z|}\pi(\alpha(x))(\pi(y)h).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$(-1)^{|x||z|}\pi(\alpha(x))\pi(y)h - (-1)^{|y||x|+|z||x|}\pi(\alpha(y))\pi(x)h - (-1)^{|z||x|}\pi([x,y]_L)h\circ\alpha = 0.$$

Obviously,

$$(-1)^{|x||z|}\pi(\alpha(x))(\pi(y)h) - (-1)^{|x||z|+|y||z|}\pi(\alpha(x))(\pi(z)g) - (-1)^{|x||y|}\pi([y,z]_L)f\circ\alpha + c.p. = 0.$$

Consequently,

$$(-1)^{|x||z|}[\alpha^{'}(x+f),[y+g,z+h]_\Omega]_\Omega + c.p.(x+f,y+g,z+h) = 0.$$

if and only if

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 0 & = & \pi(\alpha(x))(\omega(y,z)) - (-1)^{|x||y|}\pi(\alpha(y))(\omega(x,z)) + (-1)^{(|x|+|y|)|z|}\pi(\alpha(z))(\omega(x,y)) \\ & & +\omega(\alpha(x),[y,z]_L) + (-1)^{|y||z|}\omega([x,z]_L,\alpha(y)) - \omega([x,y]_L,\alpha(z)). \end{array}$$

That is $\omega \in Z^2(L,L^*)$.
Then confirmation holds if and only if $\omega \in Z^2(L,L^*)_{\bar{0}}$. Consequently, we prove the lemma. ☐

Clearly, L^* is an abelian hom-Lie superalgebra ideal of $(L\oplus L^*, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\alpha'}, \alpha^{'})$ and L is isomorphic to the factor hom-Lie superalgebra $(L\oplus L^*)/L^*$. Moreover, consider the following supersymmetric bilinear form q_L on $L\oplus L^*$ for all $x+f, y+g \in L\oplus L^*$:

$$q_L(x+f,y+g) = f(y) + (-1)^{|x||y|}g(x).$$

Then we have the following lemma:

Lemma 4.7. *Let L, L^*, ω and q_L be as above. Then the triple $(L\oplus L^*, q_L, \alpha^{'})$ is a quadratic hom-Lie superalgebra if and only if ω is supercyclic in the following sense:*

$$w(x,y)(z) = (-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|)}w(y,z)(x) \quad \textit{for all } x,y,z\in L.$$

Proof. The supersymmetric bilinear form q_L is nondegenerate:if $x+f$ is orthogonal to all elements of $L\oplus L^*$, then $f(y)=0$ and $(-1)^{|x||y|}g(x)=0$, which implies that $x=0$ and $f=0$.

Now suppose $x+f, y+g, z+h \in L\oplus L^*$, then we have

$$\begin{array}{rl} & q_L([x+f,y+g]_{L\oplus L^*}, z+h) \\ = & q_L([x,y]_L + \omega(x,y) + \pi(x)g - (-1)^{|x||y|}\pi(y)f, z+h) \\ = & \omega(x,y)(z) + (\pi(x)g)(z) - (-1)^{|x||y|}(\pi(y)f)(z) + (-1)^{|z|(|x|+|y|)}h([x,y]_L) \\ = & \omega(x,y)(z) - (-1)^{|x||y|}g([x,z]_L) + f([y,z]_L) + (-1)^{|z|(|x|+|y|)}h([x,y]_L). \end{array}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
& q_L(x+f,[y+g,z+h]_{L\oplus L^*}) \\
= \; & q_L(x+f,[y,z]_L+\omega(y,z)+\pi(y)h-(-1)^{|y||z|}\pi(z)g) \\
= \; & f([y,z]_L)+(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|)}\omega(y,z)(x)+(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|)}(\pi(y)h)(x) \\
& -(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|)+|y|z|}(\pi(z)g)(x) \\
= \; & f([y,z]_L)+(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|)}\omega(y,z)(x)+(-1)^{|z|(|x|+|y|)}h([x,y]_L)-(-1)^{|x||y|}g([x,z]_L).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the lemma follows. ☐

Now, for a supercyclic 2-cocycle ω we shall call the quadratic hom-Lie superalgebra $(L\oplus L^*, q_L, \alpha^{'})$ the T^*-extension of L (by ω) and denote the hom-Lie superalgebra $(L\oplus L^*, [\cdot,\cdot]_{L\oplus L^*}, \alpha^{'})$ by $T^*_\omega L$.

Definition 4.8. *Let L be a hom-Lie superalgebra over a field $\mathbf{K}$. We inductively define a derived series*

$$(L^{(n)})_{n\geq 0} : L^{(0)} = L,\; L^{(n+1)} = [L^{(n)}, L^{(n)}],$$

a central descending series

$$(L^n)_{n\geq 0} : L^0 = L,\; L^{n+1} = [L^n, L],$$

and a central ascending series

$$(C_n(L))_{n\geq 0} : C_0(L) = 0, C_{n+1}(L) = C(C_n(L)),$$

where $C(I) = \{a \in L | [a, L] \subseteq I\}$ for a subspace I of L.

L is called solvable and nilpotent(of length k) if and only if there is a (smallest) integer k such that $L^{(k)} = 0$ and $L^k = 0$, respectively.

In the following theorem we discuss some properties of a $T^*_\omega L$.

Theorem 4.9. *Let $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ be a hom-Lie superalgebra over a field $\mathbf{K}$.*

(1) If L is solvable (nilpotent) of length k, then the T^*-extension $T^*_\omega L$ is solvable (nilpotent) of length r, where $k \leq r \leq k+1 (k \leq r \leq 2k-1)$.

(2) If L is nilpotent of length k, so is the trivial T^*-extension $T^*_0 L$.

(3) If L is decomposed into a direct sum of two hom-Lie superalgebra ideals of L, so is the trivial T^*-extension $T^*_0 L$.

Proof. (1) Suppose first that L is solvable of length k. Since $(T^*_\omega L)^{(n)}/L^* \cong L^{(n)}$ and $L^{(k)} = 0$, we have $(T^*_\omega L)^{(k)} \subseteq L^*$, which implies $(T^*_\omega L)^{(k+1)} = 0$ because L^* is abelian, and it follows that $T^*_\omega L$ is solvable of length k or $k+1$.

Suppose now that L is nilpotent of length k. Since $(T^*_\omega L)^n/L^* \cong L^n$ and $L^k = 0$, we have $(T^*_\omega L)^k \subseteq L^*$. Let $\beta \in (T^*_\omega L)^k \subseteq L^*, b \in L$, $x_1 + f_1, \cdots, x_{k-1} + f_{k-1} \in T^*_\omega L$, $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [[\cdots[\beta, x_1 + f_1]_{L\oplus L^*}, \cdots]_{L\oplus L^*}, x_{k-1} + f_{k-1}]_{L\oplus L^*}(b) \\ = \; & \beta \mathrm{ad} x_1 \cdots \mathrm{ad} x_{k-1}(b) = \beta([x_1, [\cdots, [x_{k-1}, b]_L \cdots]_L]_L) \in \beta(L^k) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that $(T^*_\omega L)^{2k-1} = 0$. Hence $T^*_w L$ is nilpotent of length at least k and at most $2k-1$.

(2) Suppose that L is nilpotent of length k. Adopting the notations of the proof of part (1), for $x_k + f_k \in T^*_0 L$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & [x_1 + f_1, [\cdots, [x_{k-1} + f_{k-1}, x_k + f_k]_{L\oplus L^*} \cdots]_{L\oplus L^*}]_{L\oplus L^*} \\ = \; & [x_1, [\cdots, [x_{k-1}, x_k]_L \cdots]_L]_L + \sum_{i=1}^{k} [x_1, [\cdots, [x_{i-1}, [f_i, [x_{i+1}, [\cdots, [x_{k-1}, x_k] \cdots]]]] \cdots]] \\ = \; & \mathrm{ad} x_1 \cdots \mathrm{ad} x_{k-1}(x_k) + f_1[\mathrm{ad} x_2, [\cdots, [\mathrm{ad} x_{k-1}, \mathrm{ad} x_k] \cdots]] \\ & + (-1)^{k-1} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{|x_i|(|x_{i+1}| + \cdots + |x_k|)} f_k \mathrm{ad} x_{k-1} \cdots \mathrm{ad} x_1 \\ & + (-1)^{k-2} \prod_{i=1}^{k-2} (-1)^{|x_i|(|x_{i+1}| + \cdots + |x_k|)} f_{k-1} \mathrm{ad} x_k \mathrm{ad} x_{k-2} \cdots \mathrm{ad} x_1 \\ & + \sum_{i=2}^{k-2} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (-1)^{i-1} (-1)^{|x_j|(|x_{j+1}| + \cdots + |x_k|)} f_i [\mathrm{ad} x_{i+1}, [\cdots, [\mathrm{ad} x_{k-1}, \mathrm{ad} x_k] \cdots]] \mathrm{ad} x_{i-1} \cdots \mathrm{ad} x_1, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that $\mathrm{ad}[x, y] = [\mathrm{ad} x, \mathrm{ad} y], \forall x, y \in L$. Note that

$$\begin{gathered} \mathrm{ad} x_1 \cdots \mathrm{ad} x_{k-1}(x_k) \in L^k = 0, \\ f_1[\mathrm{ad} x_2, [\cdots, [\mathrm{ad} x_{k-1}, \mathrm{ad} x_k] \cdots](L) \subseteq f_1(L^k) = 0, \\ f_k \mathrm{ad} x_{k-1} \cdots \mathrm{ad} x_1(L) \subseteq \alpha_k(L^k) = 0, \\ f_{k-1} \mathrm{ad} x_k \mathrm{ad} x_{k-2} \cdots \mathrm{ad} x_1(L) \subseteq f_{k-1}(L^k) = 0, \end{gathered}$$

and

$$f_i[\mathrm{ad} x_{i+1}, [\cdots, [\mathrm{ad} x_{k-1}, \mathrm{ad} x_k] \cdots]] \mathrm{ad} x_{i-1} \cdots \mathrm{ad} x_1(L) \subseteq f_i(f^k) = 0,$$

then the right hand side of the equation vanishes and hence $(T^*_0 L)^k = 0$.

(3) Suppose that $0 \neq L = I \oplus J$, where I and J are two nonzero hom-Lie superalgebra ideals of $(L[\cdot, \cdot]_L, \alpha)$. Let I^*(resp. J^*) denote the subspace of all linear forms in L^* vanishing on J(resp. I). Clearly, I^*(resp. J^*) can canonically be identified with the dual space of I(resp. J) and $L^* \cong I^* \oplus J^*$.

Since $[I^*, L]_{L\oplus L^*}(J) = I^*([L, J]_L) \subseteq I^*(J) = 0$ and $[I, L^*]_{L\oplus L^*}(J) = L^*([I, J]_L) \subseteq L^*(I \cap J) = 0$, we have $[I^*, L]_{L\oplus L^*} \subseteq I^*$ and $[I, L^*]_{L\oplus L^*} \subseteq I^*$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [T^*_0 I, T^*_0 L]_{L\oplus L^*} &= [I \oplus I^*, L \oplus L^*]_{L\oplus L^*} \\ &= [I, L]_L + [I, L^*]_{L\oplus L^*} + [I^*, L]_{L\oplus L^*} + [I^*, L^*]_{L\oplus L^*} \subseteq I \oplus I^* = T^*_0 I. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that T_0^*I is a Z_2-graded space, then T_0^*I is a hom-Lie superalgebra ideal of L and so is T_0^*J in the same way. Hence T_0^*L can be decomposed into the direct sum $T_0^*I \oplus T_0^*J$ of two nonzero hom-Lie superalgebra ideals of T_0^*L. $\square$

In the proof of a criterion for recognizing T^*-extensions of a hom-Lie superalgebra, we will need the following result.

Lemma 4.10. *Let (L, q_L, α) be a quadratic hom-Lie superalgebra of even dimension n over a field $\mathbf{K}$ and I be an isotropic $n/2$-dimensional subspace of L. Then I is a hom-Lie superalgebra of $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ if and only if I is abelian.*

Proof. Since $\dim I + \dim I^\perp = n/2 + \dim I^\perp = n$ and $I \subseteq I^\perp$, we have $I = I^\perp$.

If I is a hom-Lie superalgebra ideal of $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$, then $q_L(L, [I, I^\perp]) = q_L([L, I], I^\perp) \subseteq q_L(I, I^\perp) = 0$, which implies $[I, I] = [I, I^\perp] \subseteq L^\perp = 0$.

Conversely, if $[I, I] = 0$, then $f(I, [I, L]) = f([I, I], L) = 0$. Hence $[I, L] \subseteq I^\perp = I$. This implies that I is an ideal of $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$. $\square$

Theorem 4.11. *Let (L, q_L, α) be a quadratic hom-Lie superalgebra of even dimension n over a field $\mathbf{K}$ of characteristic not equal to two. Then (L, q_L, α) is isometric to a T^*-extension $(T_\omega^*B, q_B, \beta')$ if and only if n is even and $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ contains an isotropic hom-Lie superalgebra ideal I of dimension $n/2$. In particular, $B \cong L/I$.*

Proof. ($\Longrightarrow$) Since $\dim B = \dim B^*$, $\dim T_\omega^*B$ is even. Moreover, it is clear that B^* is a hom-Lie superalgebra ideal of half the dimension of T_ω^*B and by the definition of q_B, we have $q_B(B^*, B^*) = 0$, i.e., $B^* \subseteq (B^*)^\perp$ and so B^* is isotropic.

($\Longleftarrow$) Suppose that I is an $n/2$-dimensional isotropic hom-Lie superalgebra ideal of L. By Lemma 4.10, I is abelian. Let $B = L/I$ and $p : L \to B$ be the canonical projection. Clearly, $|p(x)| = |x|, \forall x \in L$. Since $\mathrm{ch}\mathbf{K} \neq 2$, we can choose an isotropic complement subspace B_0 to I in L, i.e., $L = B_0 \dotplus I$ and $B_0 \subseteq B_0^\perp$. Then $B_0^\perp = B_0$ since $\dim B_0 = n/2$.

Denote by p_0 (resp. p_1) the projection $L \to B_0$ (resp. $L \to I$) and let q_L^* denote the homogeneous linear mapping $I \to B^* : i \mapsto q_L^*(i)$, where $q_L^*(i)(p(x)) := q_L(i, x), \forall x \in L$. We claim that q_L^* is a linear isomorphism. In fact, if $p(x) = p(y)$, then $x - y \in I$, hence $q_L(i, x - y) \in q_L(I, I) = 0$ and so $q_L(i, x) = q_L(i, y)$, which implies q_L^* is well-defined and it is easily seen that q_L^* is linear. If $q_L^*(i) = q_L^*(j)$, then $q_L^*(i)(p(x)) = q_L^*(j)(p(x)), \forall x \in L$, i.e., $q_L(i, x) = q_L(j, x)$, which implies $i - j \in L^\perp = 0$, hence q_L^* is injective. Note that $\dim I = \dim B^*$, then q_L^* is surjective.

In addition, q_L^* has the following property:

$$\begin{aligned} q_L^*([x, i])(p(y)) &= q_L([x, i]_L, y) = -(-1)^{|x||i|} q_L([i, x]_L, y) = -(-1)^{|x||i|} q_L(i, [x, y]_L) \\ &= -(-1)^{|x||i|} q_L^*(i) p([x, y]_L) = -(-1)^{|x||i|} q_L^*(i)[p(x), p(y)]_L \\ &= -(-1)^{|x||i|} q_L^*(i)(\mathrm{ad}p(x)(p(y))) = (\pi(p(x)) q_L^*(i))(p(y)) \\ &= [p(x), q_L^*(i)]_{L \oplus L^*}(p(y)). \end{aligned}$$

where $x, y \in L$, $i \in I$. A similar computation shows that

$$q_L^*([x,i]) = [p(x), q_L^*(i)]_{L\oplus L^*}, \quad q_L^*([i,x]) = [q_L^*(i), p(x)]_{L\oplus L^*}.$$

Define a homogeneous bilinear mapping

$$\begin{array}{rccl} \omega : & B \times B & \longrightarrow & B^* \\ & (p(b_0), p(b_0')) & \longmapsto & q_L^*(p_1([b_0, b_0'])), \end{array}$$

where $b_0, b_0' \in B_0$. Then $|w| = 0$ and w is well-defined since the restriction of the projection p to B_0 is a linear isomorphism.

Now, define the bracket on $B \oplus B^*$ by (12), we have $B \oplus B^*$ is a Z_2-graded algebra. Let φ be the linear mapping $L \to B \oplus B^*$ defined by $\varphi(b_0 + i) = p(b_0) + q_L^*(i), \forall b_0 + i \in B_0 \dotplus I = L$. Since the restriction of p to B_0 and q_L^* are linear isomorphisms, φ is also a linear isomorphism. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi([b_0 + i, b_0' + i']_L) = \varphi([b_0, b_0']_L + [b_0, i']_L + [i, b_0']_L) \\ = \ & \varphi(p_0([b_0, b_0']_L) + p_1([b_0, b_0']_L) + [b_0, i']_L + [i, b_0']_L) \\ = \ & p(p_0([b_0, b_0']_L)) + q_L^*(p_1([b_0, b_0']_L) + [b_0, i']_L + [i, b_0']_L) \\ = \ & [p(b_0), p(b_0')]_L + \omega(p(b_0), p(b_0')) + [p(b_0), q_L^*(i')]_L + [q_L^*(i), p(b_0')]_L \\ = \ & [p(b_0), p(b_0')]_L + \omega(p(b_0), p(b_0')) + \pi(p(b_0)(q_L^*(i')) - (-1)^{|b_0||b_0'|}\pi(p(b_0')(q_L^*(i)) \\ = \ & [p(b_0) + q_L^*(i), p(b_0') + q_L^*(i')]_{B\oplus B^*} \\ = \ & [\varphi(b_0 + i), \varphi(b_0' + i')]_{L\oplus L^*}. \end{aligned}$$

Then φ is an isomorphism of Z_2-graded algebras, and so $(B \oplus B^*, [\cdot,\cdot]_{B\oplus B^*}, \beta)$ is a hom-Lie superalgebra. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_B(\varphi(b_0 + i), \varphi(b_0' + i')) &= q_B(p(b_0) + q_L^*(i), p(b_0') + q_L^*(i')) \\ &= q_L^*(i)(p(b_0')) + (-1)^{|b_0||b_0'|} q_L^*(i')(p(b_0)) \\ &= q_L(i, b_0') + (-1)^{|b_0||b_0'|} q_L(i', b_0) \\ &= q_L(b_0 + i, b_0' + i'), \end{aligned}$$

then φ is isometric. The relation

$$\begin{aligned} q_B([\varphi(x), \varphi(y)], \varphi(z)) &= q_B(\varphi([x,y]), \varphi(z)) \\ &= q_L([x,y], z) = q_L(x, [y,z]) = q_B(\varphi(x), [\varphi(y), \varphi(z)]) \end{aligned}$$

implies that q_B is a nondegenerate invariant supersymmetric bilinear form, and so $(B \oplus B^*, q_B, \beta')$ is a quadratic hom-Lie superalgebra. In this way, we get a T^*-extension $T_\omega^* B$ of B and consequently, (L, q_L, α) and $(T_\omega^* B, q_B, \beta')$ are isometric as required. ☐

The proof of Theorem 4.10 shows that the homogeneous bilinear mapping ω depends on the choice of the isotropic subspace B_0 of L complement to the hom-Lie superalgebra ideal I. Therefore there may be different T^*-extensions describing the "same" quadratic hom-Lie superalgebras.

Let$(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ be a hom-Lie superalgebra over a field $\mathbf{K}$. and let $\omega_1 : L \times L \to L^*$ and $\omega_2 : L \times L \to L^*$ be two different supercyclic 2-cocycles satisfying $|\omega_1| = |\omega_2| = 0$. The T^*-extensions $T^*_{\omega_1}L$ and $T^*_{\omega_2}L$ of L are said to be **equivalent** if there exists an isomorphism of hom-Lie superalgebra $\phi : T^*_{\omega_1}L \to T^*_{\omega_2}L$ which is the identity on the hom-Lie superalgebra ideal L^* and which induces the identity on the factor hom-Lie superalgebra algebra $T^*_{\omega_1}L/L^* \cong L \cong T^*_{\omega_2}L/L^*$. The two T^*-extensions $T^*_{\omega_1}L$ and $T^*_{\omega_2}L$ are said to be **isometrically equivalent** if they are equivalent and ϕ is an isometry.

Proposition 4.12. *Let L be a hom-Lie superalgebra over a field $\mathbf{K}$ of characteristic not equal to 2, and ω_1, ω_2 be two homcyclic 2-cocycles $L \times L \to L^*$ satisfying $|\omega_i| = 0$. Then we have*

(i) $T^*_{\omega_1}L$ is equivalent to $T^*_{\omega_2}L$ if and only if there is $z \in C^1(L, L^*)_{\bar{0}}$ such that

$$\omega_1(x, y) - \omega_2(x, y) = \pi(x)z(y) - (-1)^{|x||y|}\pi(y)z(x) - z([x, y]_L), \forall x, y \in L. \tag{14}$$

If this is the case, then the supersymmetric part z_s of z, defined by $z_s(x)(y) := \frac{1}{2}(z(x)(y) + (-1)^{|x||y|}z(y)(x))$, for all $x, y \in L$, induces a supersymmetric invariant bilinear form on L.

(ii) $T^*_{\omega_1}L$ is isometrically equivalent to $T^*_{\omega_2}L$ if and only if there is $z \in C^1(L, L^*)_{\bar{0}}$ such that (14) holds for all $x, y \in L$ and the supersymmetric part z_s of z vanishes.

Proof. (i) $T^*_{\omega_1}L$ is equivalent to $T^*_{\omega_2}L$ if and only if there is an isomorphism of hom-Lie superalgebra $\Phi : T^*_{\omega_1}L \to T^*_{\omega_2}L$ satisfying $\Phi|_{L^*} = 1_{L^*}$ and $x - \Phi(x) \in L^*, \forall x \in L$.

Suppose that $\Phi : T^*_{\omega_1}L \to T^*_{\omega_2}L$ is an isomorphism of hom-Lie superalgebra and define a linear mapping $z : L \to L^*$ by $z(x) := \Phi(x) - x$, then $z \in C^1(L, L^*)_{\bar{0}}$ and for all $x + f, y + g \in T^*_{\omega_1}L$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi([x + f, y + g]_\Omega) \\ = \; & \Phi([x, y]_L + \omega_1(x, y) + \pi(x)g - (-1)^{|x||y|}\pi(y)f) \\ = \; & [x, y]_L + z([x, y]_L) + \omega_1(x, y) + \pi(x)g - (-1)^{|x||y|}\pi(y)f. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & [\Phi(x + f), \Phi(y + g)] \\ = \; & [x + z(x) + f, y + z(y) + g] \\ = \; & [x, y]_L + \omega_2(x, y) + \pi(x)g + \pi(x)z(y) - (-1)^{|x||y|}\pi(y)z(x) - (-1)^{|x||y|}\pi(y)f. \end{aligned}$$

Since Φ is an isomorphism, (14) holds.

Conversely, if there exists $z \in C^1(L, L^*)_{\bar{0}}$ satisfying (12), then we can define $\Phi : T^*_{\omega_1}L \to T^*_{\omega_2}L$ by $\Phi(x + f) := x + z(x) + f$. It is easy to prove that Φ is an isomorphism of hom-Lie superalgebra such that $\Phi|_{L^*} = 1_{L^*}$ and $x - \Phi(L) \in L^*, \forall x \in L$, i.e., $T^*_{\omega_1}L$ is equivalent to $T^*_{\omega_2}L$.

Consider the supersymmetric bilinear form $q_L : L \times L \to \mathbf{K}, (x,y) \mapsto z_s(x)(y)$ induced by z_s. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_1(x,y)(m) - \omega_2(x,y)(m) \\ = \; & \pi(x)z(y)(m) - (-1)^{|x||y|}\pi(y)z(x)(m) - z([x,y]_L)(m) \\ = \; & (-1)^{|x||y|}z(y)([x,m]_L) + z(x)([y,m]_L) - z([x,y]_L)(m) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{|x|(|y|+|m|)}(\omega_1(y,m)(x) - \omega_2(y,m)(x)) \\ = \; & (-1)^{|x|(|y|+|m|)}(\pi(y)z(m)(x) - (-1)^{|y||m|}\pi(m)z(y)(x) - z([y,m]_L)(x)) \\ = \; & (-1)^{|m|(|x|+|y|)}z(m)([x,y]_L) + (-1)^{|x||y|}z(y)([x,m]_L) - (-1)^{|x|(|y|+|m|)}z([y,m]_L)(x) \end{aligned}$$

Since both ω_1 and ω_2 are homcylic, the right hand sides of above two equations are equal. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{|x||y|}z(y)([x,m]_L) + z(x)([y,m]_L) - z([x,y]_L)(m) \\ = \; & (-1)^{|m|(|x|+|y|)}z(m)([x,y]_L) + (-1)^{|x||y|}z(y)([x,m]_L) - (-1)^{|x|(|y|+|m|)}z([y,m]_L)(x). \end{aligned}$$

That is

$$z(x)([y,m]_L) + (-1)^{|x|(|y|+|m|)}z([y,m]_L)(x) = z([x,y]_L)(m) + (-1)^{|m|(|x|+|y|)}z(m)([x,y]_L).$$

Since $\mathrm{ch}\mathbf{K} \neq 2$, $q_L(x,[y,m]) = q_L([x,y],m)$, which proves the invariance of the supersymmetric bilinear form q_L induced by z_s.

(ii) Let the isomorphism Φ be defined as in (i). Then for all $x+f, y+g \in L \oplus L^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & q_B(\Phi(x+f), \Phi(y+g)) = q_B(x + z(x) + f, y + z(y) + g) \\ = \; & z(x)(y) + f(y) + (-1)^{|x||y|}(z(y)(x) + g(x)) \\ = \; & z(x)(y) + (-1)^{|x||y|}z(y)(x) + f(y) + (-1)^{|x||y|}g(x) \\ = \; & 2z_s(x)(y) + q_B(x+f, y+g). \end{aligned}$$

Thus Φ is an isometry if and only if $z_s = 0$. $\square$

References

[1] Ammar F. and Makhlouf A., *Hom-Lie superalgebras and Hom-Lie admissible superalgebras.* J. Algebra 324 (2010), no. 7, 1513-1528.

[2] Ammar F. and Makhlouf A., *Cohomology of Hom-Lie superalgebras and q- deforemed Witt superalgebra*, e-Print: arXiv:1204.6244.

[3] Ammar F., Ayadi I. and Makhlouf A., *Quadratic color Hom-Lie algebras*, e-Print: arXiv:1204.5155.

[4] Bajo I., Benayadi S., Medina A., *Symplectic structures on quadratic Lie algebras.* J. Algebra 316(2007), no. 1, 174-188.

[5] Bordemann M. *Nondegenerate invariant bilinear forms on nonassociative algebras.* Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae LXVI(2)(1997), 151-201.

[6] Gohr A. On hom-algebras with surjective twisting. J. Algebra 324 (2010), no. 7, 1483-1491.

[7] Hartwig J., Larsson D. and Silvestrov S., *Deformations of Lie algebras using σ-derivations,* J. Algebra 295(2006), 321-344.

[8] Jin Q. and Li X., Hom-Lie algebra structures on semi-simple Lie algebras. J. Algebra 319 (2008), no. 4, 1398-1408.

[9] Lin J., Wang Y., Deng S.,T^**-extension of Lie triple systems.* Linear Algebra and its Applications 431(2009), 2071-2083.

[10] Scheunert M., Zhang R., *Cohomology of Lie superalgebras and their generalizations.* J. Math. Phys. 39(1998), 5024-5061.

[11] Sheng Y., *Representations of Hom-Lie Algebras.* Algebr. Represent. Theory, 15 (2012), no. 6, 1081-1098.

[12] Yau D., The Hom-Yang-Baxter equation and Hom-Lie algebras. J. Math. Phys. 52 (2011), no. 5, 053502, 19 pp.

[13] Yau D., Hom-quantum groups: I. Quasi-triangular Hom-bialgebras. J. Phys. A 45 (2012), no. 6, 065203, 23 pp.